

**Nutley Historic Preservation Committee Executive Session:  
July 2, 2020 7 PM**

Attendance: John S, John D, Janice, Jeanne, Sal

John D: No issues with addition, material selection is fine

1. Second story addition - looks fine and addressed appropriately – accepted by all pending submission of items for review for cert of appropriateness

1. paint samples
2. siding – clapboard and shake
3. trim
4. roof shingle
5. window specs

2. Rear canopy addition – code issue, structure is too close to the existing garage. Zoning requires accessory structure to be away from the main structure, must be pulled back approx. 10 feet; Top heaviness and spindly legs – suggest matching detail of the original house, request revised drawing that reflects and clarifies column detail and that it is matched, then make assessment on that

3. Trees - some diseased trees will be removed, the remaining trees would fall under the purview of NHPC

4. Future pool - request diagram of trees including large caliber trees and rhododendron will be planted, will also need plans for the fence, landscaping plan

**Nutley Historic Preservation Committee Meeting Minutes  
July 2, 2020 7:45 PM**

\*\*

John Simko, John Demmer, Janice Fraser, Jeanne Van Steen, & Sal Corvino all met in an executive session to discuss 232 Highfield Lane and its proposed renovations

Public Comment

James Kuchta – executor of Ruth Bedford’s estate, he thinks it’s great that Mina Greiss wants to restore the home to its glory, there are holes in siding from carpenter bees, lots work that needs to be done, so happy Mina want to carry on traditions as part of plans. The original house was smaller, there were many additions, hope we rule favorably to Mina’s plans, he thinks Ruth would be in favor of these plans,

John Simko – very happy Mina is involved as well

Mina Greiss sent an email to Sal Corvino with additional details for canopy portion – want to make a change to the existing portico, it would allow him to raise it so that more vehicles can fit underneath, the front port cochere will remain the same. Mr. Greiss and his wife decided not to attempt installation of an inground pool

Sal Corvino: Rear canopy addition – code issue, structure is too close to the existing garage. Zoning requires accessory structure to be away from the main structure, must be pulled back approx. 10 feet; Top heaviness and spindly legs – suggest matching detail of the original house, request revised drawing that reflects and clarifies column detail and that it is matched, then make assessment on that

John Simko: carport one long plane, doesn’t match the complex aesthetic of the house, spindly posts, perhaps need something in similar style

We will send email to Mina with our thoughts and concerns with a day or two and request that Mr. Greiss send updated carport in the back

Sal Corvino – landscaping was what Ruth wanted preserved, we would like landscape plan with large caliber trees for site plan, what to keep rhododendrons preserved, where trees coming down are

Dave DelPolito – Is property staying the same or is it being divided?

Sal Corvino – House being restored, small addition, portico addition in rear,

James Kuchta – There is a deed restriction so it cannot be subdivided and also cannot tear down house and build a different house on it

(Many thanks to Sal Scarpelli for his assistance in hosting the Zoom call)

Summary

NHPC will send a letter to Mr. Greiss summarizing our discussion and requests the following items in order to approve the addition for a Certificate of Appropriateness:

1. paint color choice samples
2. clapboard sample
3. shake sample
3. trim board material
4. roof shingle sample
5. window choices

NHPC also requests an updated drawing of the carport and a landscape plan with large caliber trees and bushes marked.